Use of double-bladed biopsy in distinguishing keratoacanthoma from squamous cell carcinoma—a case report
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Background

The double-bladed scalpel was originally used in 1977 by Coiffman to harvest strip grafts for hair transplantation [1]. In 1982, Schultz used a double-bladed scalpel for peripheral margin assessments [2]. Other studies describing its use for intraoperative margin control include studies by Johnson et al in 1997 [3], Moosavi et al in 2000 [4], Cernea et al in 2006 [5], and Aoyagi et al in 2010 [6]. In 1994, Bowen et al described the use of the double bladed scalpel for the revision of old surgical scars [7]. A search of the literature has not discovered previous use of this instrument for taking partial biopsies of skin tumors and, in particular, a search has not discovered any previous reference to its use for differentiating between KA and SCC on the leg and foot.
Not discovered published evidence to support this observation. The authors do not recommend incisional biopsies for suspected KA/SCC if a full excisional biopsy can be easily performed. The authors also do not recommend observation for resolution if any high risk features are present, e.g., location on head and neck or with perineural infiltration. The authors especially recommend incisional biopsies using the double-bladed technique on the leg and foot where primary closure of an excisional biopsy site may not be feasible.

Case report

A 94-year-old lady presented with a rapidly growing, non-pigmented nodular lesion on the lateral side of the right foot (Figure 2). Clinically there was central keratin, as can be seen in both KA and SCC. The symmetry of the lesion was consistent with KA but it was tender to palpation, a feature commonly regarded as a clue to SCC. At approximately 2 mm in thickness it was at the threshold of metastatic potential if it was to be an SCC [13].

Dermatoscopic assessment revealed central structureless white (keratin) with blood spots. It has been shown that KA cannot be reliably distinguished from SCC with dermatoscopy [9], and certainly in this case dermatoscopy did not clarify the differential diagnosis.

The lesion was 13 mm in diameter, in which case excisional biopsy with 1 mm margins would have created a defect 15 mm in diameter, and it was assessed that primary closure of an excisional biopsy site may not be feasible.

Figure 1. A double-bladed scalpel handle mounted with two No. 11 blades.

Figure 2. Clinical (A), macro (B) and dermatoscopic (C) images of a rapidly growing, non-pigmented, raised lesion on the foot of a 94-year-old female.
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A moproliferative lesion showing the characteristic crateriform appearance with buttressing of both side margins [14].

In this case, the assessment was made that even a defect created by a 4 mm punch biopsy might not be able to be closed without excessive skin tension. It was decided that incisional biopsy through the center of the lesion would achieve an optimum biopsy for dermatopathologic assessment and permit satisfactory surgical closure of the defect.

Biopsy was performed under sterile conditions in the General Practice Operating Room (Figure 3). In accordance with the instruction “block whole—longitudinal section” the biopsy specimen was not sectioned prior to mounting in paraffin but was laid on its side intact so that the full face of the lesion from the stratum corneum (in this case surface keratin) to the deepest part of the dermis and through the full width of the lesion was presented to the dermatome (Figure 4).

Dermatopathologic assessment by author DW revealed a squamoproliferative lesion with a characteristic crateriform appearance with buttressing of both side margins (Figure 5A). The central cells of each squamous nest showed the characteristic pale pink cytoplasm characteristic of KA (Figure 5B) [14,15]. There was no superimposed SCC, as is seen would not be possible. An experienced dermatopathologist would be able to diagnose KA on a punch biopsy from the unique histologic characteristics of KA because the cells in a KA have a distinct hue in their cytoplasm that is paler than that seen in SCC, best visualized in the large central cells in the squamous nests which may be up to double the size of the peripheral cells [14,15]. These central pale cells of KA tend to be much larger than the cells of SCC [14,15]. The diagnosis of KA from a punch biopsy may not detect SCC arising in the base, which has been shown to occur in 5-13% of KA [8]. To diagnose KA with more confidence, most pathologists would also prefer to see the architecture of the squamous nests containing large pale central cells.

\[ \text{Figure 3. Biopsy procedure of the lesion shown in Figure 2 using the double-bladed scalpel (images taken by Marcia Muraca, RN). Note that a second standard scalpel (with single blade) was used to transect each end and to undermine the base of the biopsy specimen.} \]

\[ \text{Figure 4. Processing for dermatopathologic assessment involved mounting the entire biopsy specimen, without sectioning, so that the 'full face' of the lesion was presented to the dermatotome.} \]

\[ \text{Figure 5. Low power overview (A) and high power view of boxed area in A (B), of the lesion shown in Figure 2. Figure 2A illustrates the typical architecture of KA, while B shows the typical cytology of a KA with nests containing large pale central cells.} \]
in at least 10% of KA in patients of this age [8]. On the basis of this report the decision was made not to immediately proceed to formal excision and complex closure but to observe for up to two months in anticipation of resolution. The lesion had clinically regressed completely when reviewed two months after the biopsy procedure. We believe that this was a favorable course of action for the patient, who, at the age of 94, was agreeable to avoiding any potentially unnecessary procedure.

**Warning**
Mounting blades on a double-bladed scalpel is technically more difficult than with conventional scalpels. The authors advise physicians and/or support staff to perform this task with care.

**Conclusion**
The double bladed scalpel with blades 1.5 mm apart has been shown in this case report to have utility as an “SCC biopsy tool.” It enabled an optimum dermatopathologic assessment to distinguish KA from the differential diagnosis of SCC. An immediate formal excision with associated complex closure was avoided in a 94-year-old patient. We believe the double-bladed scalpel, used as described in this report, is a useful tool especially for lesions on the leg and foot when it is desirable to differentiate KA from SCC and to minimize surgical trauma.
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